





| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/893,890 | 06/29/2001 | Juha Salo | 367.40305X00 | 4950 |
| / 20457 7 | 7590 05/26/2004 | | EXAMI | NER |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP | | | NGUYEN, DAVID Q | |
| | SEVENTEENTH STREE | T | | |
| SUITE 1800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTON. | , VA 22209-9889 | 2681 | Ç | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004 | ٥ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 09/893,890 | JUHA SALO ET AL | | | | |
| . Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and | David Q Nguyen | 2681 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J | une 2001 . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims | =x parte Quayle, 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| ; 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | s have been received | | | | | |
| 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro- | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 5 p. 15.1., and 51 00 0.0.0 | . 33 1=0 0/10/01 151. | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/893,890

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, 18-21 and 38-40, drawn to a mobile terminal having a first receiver and second receiver for receiving a signal conveying complementary information comprising schedule and configuration data, classified in class 455, subclass 130.
 - II. Claims 11-17 and 22-28 and 37, drawn to a mobile terminal having a transmitter for transmitting a signal conveying complementary information comprising schedule and configuration data, classified in class 455, subclass 91.
 - III. Claims 29-36, drawn to receiving a request for non-scheduled content to be included in a signal, classified in class 455, subclass 556.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions as mentioned above, invention I drawn to receiver, invention II drawn to transmitter and the other one drawn to receiving a request for non-scheduled content.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,890

Art Unit: 2681

4. A telephone call was made to Fred Erick Bailey (42282) on 05/17/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-605-4254. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika A Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

DN

David Nguyen

ENINA SAM